

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/836,625 | 04/16/2001 | Bruce J. Roser | 263742000201 | 7829 | |
| 25226 7 | 7590 11/17/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD | | | DAVIS, MIN | DAVIS, MINH TAM B | |
| | CA 94304-1018 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1642 DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003 | 14 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED. 11/1//2003 | ' / | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| • | | 09/836,625 | ROSER ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | MINH-TAM DAVIS | 1642 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper population of the provision of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a r ly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 S | September 2003. | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | , | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 48,50 and 52-54 is/are pending in the | e application. | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48,50 and 52-54</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| _ | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| a) 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the fir 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language process Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest eference was included in the first sentence of the attacks. | ts have been received. Its have been received in A Inity documents have been It (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not It priority under 35 U.S.C. It sentence of the specification has be It priority under 35 U.S.C. | pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview S | summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | |
| 2) Notic | the of Professional (PTO-002) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Notice of I | oformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |



Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Accordingly, claims 48, 50, 52-54 are being examined.

The following are the remaining rejections.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH, NEW MATTER

Rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph of claims 48, 50, 52-54 pertaining to new matter remains for reasons already of record in paper No.11.

Applicant asserts that the specification discloses the effectiveness of 10%, 15% and 30% of trehalose in preventing aggregation.

Applicant asserts that the dictionary meaning of the term "at least" is "at the minimum", and thus specifying the minimal amounts of trehalose required for effectiveness in terms of "at least" 10%, 15% or 30% is proper as it would have been understood as minimum concentrations by one of skill in the art.

Applicant 's arguments set forth in paper No.12 have been considered but are not deemed to be persuasive for the following reasons:

It is noted that "at least" reads on "at the minimum", which is at any concentration above 10%, provided the minimum is 10%.

The specification lacks support for any effective concentration above 10%, for example 100-2000% trehalose.



Art Unit: 1642

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-TAM DAVIS whose telephone number is 703-305-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANTHONY CAPUTA can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0916.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,625

Art Unit: 1642

MINH TAM DAVIS

PATENT EXAMINER

November 14, 2003

SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Auson 8